Measuring Market Expectations

Christiane Baumeister

University of Notre Dame University of Pretoria NBER and CEPR

SAMNet Workshop: New Developments in Macroeconomics November 30, 2021

Motivation

- Expectations are key
 - decision-making under uncertainty
 - forward-looking models in macro and finance

Motivation

- Expectations are key
 - decision-making under uncertainty
 - forward-looking models in macro and finance
- Asset prices are a valuable source of information about expectations
 - ⇒ policymakers and private sector often take them at face value
 - ⇒ forecast efficiency regressions: futures prices are <u>not</u> unbiased predictors of future spot prices

Motivation

- Expectations are key
 - decision-making under uncertainty
 - forward-looking models in macro and finance
- Asset prices are a valuable source of information about expectations
 - ⇒ policymakers and private sector often take them at face value
 - ⇒ forecast efficiency regressions: futures prices are <u>not</u> unbiased predictors of future spot prices
- But financial market participants demand compensation for risk: asset price = market expectation + risk premium

Asset Pricing

• The absence of profitable arbitrage opportunities implies:

$$E_t(M_{t+h}(S_{t+h} - F_t^h)) = 0$$

where

 M_{t+h} is the stochastic discount factor $(S_{t+h} - F_t^h)$ is the random payoff of a long position

• Solving for the futures price yields:

$$F_t^h = E_t(S_{t+h}) + \frac{cov_t(M_{t+h}S_{t+h})}{E_t(M_{t+h})}$$

where the latter term refers to the time-varying risk premium

Modeling Risk Premia

- Return regressions
- Gaussian affine term structure models

Modeling Risk Premia

- Return regressions
- Gaussian affine term structure models

⇒ unifying perspective (Dai & Singleton, 2002; Hamilton & Wu, 2014):

$$f_{t+1}^{h-1} - f_t^h = \kappa_{h-1} + \delta'_{h-1} x_t + \varepsilon_{t+1}^{h-1}$$

where

- ► $f_{t+1}^{h-1} f_t^h$ is the payoff on a long position in an *h*-period futures contract
- \succ x_t are observed or latent risk pricing factors
- > in term structure models: $\kappa_{h-1} = \beta'_{h-1}\lambda \frac{1}{2}\beta'_{h-1}\Sigma\Sigma'\beta_{h-1}$ $\delta'_{h-1} = \beta'_{h-1}\Lambda$

in return regressions:
$$\kappa_{h-1} = \hat{\kappa}_{h-1}^{OLS}$$

 $\delta_{h-1} = \hat{\delta}_{h-1}^{OLS}$

Key Differences

• Return regressions

- unrestricted least squares
- observed proxies for relevant risk factors:
 - common factors across asset classes
 - asset-specific factors
- easy to pinpoint source(s) of risk

⇒ fitted value is estimate of time-varying risk premium

• Affine term structure models

- cross-equation restrictions to rule out arbitrage
- Iatent factors inferred from behavior of asset prices
- additional observable determinants to help with interpretability and link to macroeconomic dynamics
- ⇒ difference between observed futures price and rational expectation is estimate of time-varying risk premium

Illustration for the Oil Market

Article	Model	Monthly Predictors for WTI Futures Payoff
Bessembinder (1992)	B1	CRSP value-weighted equity index returns
	B2	CRSP value-weighted equity index returns
		Unexpected CPI inflation
		Change in expected CPI inflation
		Change in 3-month T-bill rate
		Change in the term structure (20YGB – 3-month T-bill)
		Change in default premium (BAA – 20YGB)
		Unexpected change in U.S. industrial production
Bessembinder and	BC	Dividend yield on CRSP value-weighted equity index
Chan (1992)		3-month T-bill rate
		Junk bond premium (BAA-AAA)
Bessembinder and	BS	Ratio of trading volume of oil futures contracts to open
Seguin (1993)		interest by horizon
De Roon, Nijman, and	DNV1	Returns on S&P 500 stock price index
Veld (2000)		Own-market hedging pressure
		Cross-market hedging pressure for gold, silver, platinum,
		heating oil
	DNV2	DNV1 + own-market price pressure

Illustration for the Oil Market

Article	Model	Monthly Predictors for WTI Futures Payoff		
Gorton, Hayashi, and	GHR1	Normalized U.S. crude oil commercial inventories		
Rouwenhorst (2013)	GHR2	Own-market hedging pressure		
Hong and Yogo (2012)	HY1	1-month T-bill rate		
		Yield spread (AAA – 1MTbill)		
		Horizon-specific basis		
	HY2	HY1 + growth rate of dollar open interest for oil futures		
	HY3	HY1 + CFNAI		
	HY4	HY3 + growth rate of dollar open interest for oil futures		
	HY5	HY1 + futures market imbalance		
	HY6	HY5 + growth rate of dollar open interest for oil futures		
	HY7	HY5 + CFNAI		
	HY8	HY7 + growth rate of dollar open interest for oil futures		
Pagano and Pisani	PP1	Degree of capacity utilization in U.S. manufacturing		
(2009)	PP2	Term spreads		
	PP3	Composite leading indicator for OECD + 6 NMEs		
Pagano and Pisani	PPE1	GECON from Baumeister, Korobilis, and Lee (2020)		
(2009) Extensions	PPE2 PP2 + GECON			
	PPE3	PP3 + GECON		

Heterogeneity of Risk Premium Estimates

There will be as many risk premium estimates as there are models ⇒ imply different market expectations (shown for the 1-year horizon)



A Model Selection Criterion

- Set of spot price expectations: $E_t(S_{t+h}) = F_t^h RP_t^h$
- Baumeister and Kilian (2017): to identify the most plausible market-based expectation measure, assess accuracy of price expectations in terms of their mean-squared prediction error (MSPE): $E[S_{t+h} - E_t(S_{t+h})]^2$
- Key insight:

The conditional expectation minimizes the MSPE under quadratic loss (Granger, 1969; Granger & Newbold, 1986)

- Select market expectation that delivers the largest MSPE reduction
- ⇒ general methodology to recover unique market expectation

Oil Price Expectations

	Monthly horizon h			
Models	3	6	9	12
F_t^h	0.976*	0.965**	0.923**	0.859**
Basis Regressions				
FF1	1.013	1.037	1.027	0.985*
FF2	1.015	1.036	1.029	0.987 *
Payoff Regressions				
B1	0.984*	1.022	1.017	0.975 *
B2	0.899 *	0.930**	0.931**	0.865**
BC	0.994	1.020	1.005	0.959 *
BS	1.003	1.004	1.055	1.016
DNV1	0.925**	0.978	0.938*	0.853**
DNV2	0.925**	0.969	0.939*	0.850**
GHR1	0.957**	0.989*	1.031	0.994 *
GHR2	1.011	1.037	1.015	0.980 *
HY1	0.977 **	0.992	0.989	0.938*
HY2	0.975 *	0.995	0.993	0.947 *
HY3	0.909**	0.955**	0.963**	0.915**
HY4	0.912**	0.954**	0.963**	0.926**
HY5	0.970 *	0.954	0.906*	0.848**
HY6	0.972 *	0.957	0.908*	0.861**
HY7	0.887**	0.894**	0.849**	0.794**
HY8	0.892**	0.888**	0.838**	0.801**
PP1	1.003	1.031	1.032	0.997 *
PP2	0.979 *	0.989 *	0.981 *	0.960*
PP3	1.012	1.013	0.949**	0.865**
PPE1	0.953*	0.995 *	0.986*	0.942**
PPE2	0.926**	0.945**	0.943**	0.930**
PPE3	0.954*	0.973**	0.907**	0.825**
Term Structure Model				
HW	0.896*	0.829**	0.762**	0.697**

Oil Price Expectations at Different Points in Time



Deriving Shock Measures

- Market-based oil price shocks:
 - (1) Oil price surprises computed as log difference between actual oil price and what market participants expected the price to be last month



Deriving Shock Measures

- Market-based oil price shocks:
 - 'Pure' expectation shocks driven by market beliefs (orthogonal to (2)fundamental oil supply and demand shocks)



2003.1-2020.4

Modeling Applications

- Evaluation of economic models
 - <u>Testing hypotheses</u>: test for bubbles (Pavlidis et al., 2017); test for financialization of commodity markets (Baumeister et al., 2017)
 - <u>Modeling agents' decisions</u>: vehicle purchases (e.g. Allcott and Wozny, 2014); inventory build-up (Baumeister et al., 2017); investment in resource extraction (Anderson et al., 2018; Gilje et al., 2020)
- Input for policy analysis
 - Regulation and government policies
 - Management of the Strategic Petroleum Reserve (Newell and Prest, 2017)
 - Changes in gasoline taxes vs fuel-economy regulations (Busse et al., 2013)
 - Economic outlook
 - Feed oil price expectations into macroeconomic projections

Implications for Out-of-Sample Forecasting

- Does risk adjustment translate into out-of-sample forecasting success?
 - ⇒ Baumeister and Kilian (2017) provided encouraging results BUT weakened over extended evaluation period
 - ⇒ Way forward: add to forecast combinations

Monthly	\mathbf{r}^{h}		HW + daily
horizon <i>h</i>	Γ _t	11 VV	price change
3	0.890**	1.066	0.901 **
6	0.840**	0.972 *	0.935**
9	0.781 **	0.945 **	0.909**
12	0.739**	0.916**	0.894**

Recursive MSPE Ratios Relative to No-Change Forecast of the WTI Oil Price Evaluation Period: 2009.1-2020.7

NOTES: Boldface indicates improvements on the monthly no-change forecast.

Monetary Policy Expectations

- Common measure: Fed funds futures
 risk-adjusted expectation measure (Piazzesi and Swanson, 2008)
- Many other financial instruments can be used to infer marketbased expectations about future changes in Fed policy
 - differ in their characteristics which means different risk premia
 - Gürkaynak, Sack & Swanson (2007) investigate their forecasting performance but ignore risk premia
- Additional challenges:
 - Zero lower bound: shadow-rate model (Bauer & Rudebusch, 2016)
 - Heterogeneous beliefs influence size and variation of risk premia (Kelly & Pruitt, 2013; Barillas & Nimark, 2017, 2019; Cao, Crump, Eusepi & Moench, 2020)

Inflation Expectations

- Common measure: breakeven inflation rates
 - difference between yields on nominal Treasuries and inflationprotected Treasuries
 - derived from two markets with differing characteristics, in particular liquidity during periods of financial stress
- In addition to risk premium: adjust for liquidity premium
- Existence of inflation-linked assets not a precondition for deriving market-based measure of inflation expectations
 - model joint dynamics of nominal rates and actual inflation in a statespace framework where inflation and real rates are unobserved states (Hamilton, 1985; Burmeister et al., 1986)
 - use futures prices of agricultural commodities and relationship between commodity and consumer prices to back out overall inflation expectations (Hamilton, 1992)

Conclusion

- Long list of assets traded on financial, forward, and futures markets whose prices incorporate expectations about key macroeconomic variables
 - ⇒ inflation, house prices, freight costs, commodity prices, interest rates, foreign exchange, emission allowances (carbon price), ...
- Same general methodology can be applied to select the most plausible market-based expectation measure
 important: account for specific features of each market in deriving

the relevant set of expectations for evaluation

• Useful for many economic applications and policy decisions